

ARTFORUM

JANUARY 1998



Margaret Curtis, *Float of the Peephole* (detail), 1997, gouache and ink on rice paper, 15 1/2 x 13".

MARGARET CURTIS ARENA

Margaret Curtis may be one of the most energetic young painters around. Although she has come to many reveal's notice in the 1994 "Bad Girls" show at the New Museum, her stock-in-trade has less to do with willful transgression than with sheer accountability. So it comes as no surprise that her works on paper are far from the cursory rendered notational style that has become the lingua franca for an entire artis industry.

The earlier drawings in her recent exhibition, from 1992 to 1994, had not yet attained the brokenness of those since

1995. Technically, the latter are rendered with such joyful finical precision, unexpectedly married to an admirably impunitional looseness, that I'd imagine fellow practitioners would find them humbling: looking at them, you'd feel like either a sycophant or a drudge by comparison.

Curtis is drawn to fictions yet pungent allegories that consist of a certain mix of the in-your-face obvious and the hermatically allusive. Among her most striking conceptions, here as in the 1996 painting exhibited "Kathy Matters," is a series of what the title "floats," serenely monstrous nudes that barrel through otherwise nebulous pictorial space on impossibly large wide-tread tires, loaded down with all manner of symbolic regalia. The exact connections between, or meaning of, the heaping cargo of the floats is not always clear, and strong whiffs of satire get diffused amidst the ambiguities. Curtis' feminist purpose, nevertheless—comically assured, yet psychologically edgy—is never in doubt; in works entitled *Float of the Peephole* or *My Open Heart*, both 1997.

I suspect that Curtis' ambiguities are the result of her particular take on allegory. The allegorical method itself, with its inversion of accumulation and ruin, appeals to her even more than the delirious burden of meaning it conveys. Her wayward artistic heritage reveals itself most readily in *Abandoned Formal Form*, 1997, in which she declares her allegiance to Giorgio de Chirico—and not to his wider rigmarole, early period, with its brooding architectural voids, so much as to the delirious object, endearingly mangled pseudoclassical paintings of his doings. In this drawing, a sort of semi-autumn scene, whose partly exposed armature pointedly resembles a wrecked painter's stretcher, supports a modish covering of *admirable* and *whimsical*. (Is that bunchy netted stuff lacework or chicken wire?) This valentineous miasma—smoky signs like a dainty gantlet over a sunlit landscape.

Curtis pursues the theme of the image deconstructing to reveal an underlying structure in other recent drawings such as *Sins from Behind and Bleeding*, both 1997. These suggest an equal disdain for the realist's faith in appearances and the abstractivist's search for deeper reality. Each as rambunctious as the other, only their patetic and doleful confrontations supply sufficient entertainment for Curtis' mercifully modular eye.

—Randy Schutte



TRACEY MORGAN GALLERY
188 COXE AVENUE ASHEVILLE NC 28801 828.505.7667 TRACEYMORGANGALLERY.COM